

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....ii

INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE.....1

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....2

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....5

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....7

I. THE DECISION BELOW CONFLICTS WITH THE
DECISIONS OF THIS COURT HOLDING THAT
GOVERNMENTS MAY NOT PENALIZE CITIZENS FOR
EXERCISING THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.....7

II. THE DECISION BELOW BUILDS A CONFLICT
AMONG THE LOWER COURTS REGARDING THE
UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDITIONS DOCTRINE AND
WHETHER THE RIGHTS OF THOSE ADVOCATING
TRADITIONAL MORAL VALUES WILL BE
RESPECTED.....15

III. THIS CASE PRESENTS CRITICAL ISSUES OF
ONGOING NATIONAL IMPORTANCE CONCERNING
THE FREEDOM OF AMERICANS TO JOIN TOGETHER
TO ADVOCATE TRADITIONAL MORAL AND
RELIGIOUS VALUES.....17

CONCLUSION.....20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	Page
<i>Anderson v. McCotter</i> , 100 F.3d 723 (10 th Cir. 1996).....	13
<i>Barnes-Wallace v. Boy Scouts of America</i> , 275 F. Supp. 2d 1259 (S.D. Cal. 2003).....	18
<i>Bd. of Comm'rs, Waubensee County v. Umbehr</i> , 518 U.S. 668 (1996).....	12
<i>Boy Scouts of America v. Dale</i> , 530 U.S. 640 (2000).....	2,3,5,6,7-8,13,14
<i>Boy Scouts of America v. Till</i> , 136 F. Supp. 2d 1295 (S.D. Fla. 2001).....	13,18
<i>Boy Scouts of America v. Wyman</i> , 335 F.3d 80 (2d Cir.2003).....	15,18
<i>Christian Legal Society v. Kane</i> , No. C 04-04484 JSW, 2006 WL 997217 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 17, 2006).....	17
<i>Cuffley v. Mickes</i> , 208 F.3d 702 (8 th Cir. 2000), <i>cert. denied</i> , 532 U.S. 903 (2001).....	13,16
<i>Curran v. Mount Diablo Council of the Boy Scouts</i> , 17 Cal. 4 th 670 (1998).....	3,4
<i>FCC v. League of Women Voters</i> , 468 U.S. 364 (1984).....	13
<i>Gay Lesbian Bisexual Alliance v. Pryor</i> , 110 F.3d 1543 (11 th Cir 1997).....	15

<i>Grove City College v. Bell</i> , 465 U.S. 555 (1984).....	14
<i>Healy v. James</i> , 408 U.S. 169 (1972).....	11-12
<i>Hsu v. Roslyn Union Free Sch. Dist. No. 3</i> , 85 F.3d 839 (2d Cir. 1996).....	13,18
<i>Hyland v. Wonder</i> , 972 F.2d 1129 (9 th Cir. 1992), <i>cert. denied</i> , 508 U.S. 908 (1993).....	13
<i>Knights of the Ku Klux Klan v. East Baton Rouge Parish School Bd.</i> , 578 F.2d 1122 (5 th Cir. 1978).....	16
<i>Legal Services Corp. v. Velasquez</i> , 531 U.S. 533 (2001)....	13
<i>Perry v. Sinderman</i> , 408 U.S. 593 (1972),.....	10
<i>Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. v. Agency for Intern. Development</i> , 915 F.2d 59 (2d Cir. 1990) <i>cert. denied</i> 500 U.S. 92 (1991).....	13
<i>Powell v. Bunn</i> , 108 P.3d 37 (Or. Ct. App. 2005).....	18
<i>Randall v. Orange County Council</i> , 17 Cal. 4 th 736 (1998).....	3,4,8
<i>Robb v. Hungerbeeler</i> , 370 F.3d 735 (8 th Cir. 2004), <i>cert. denied, sub. nom. Rahn v. Robb</i> , 543 U.S. 1054 (2005).....	16
<i>Rumsfeld v. Forum for Academic and Institutional Rights</i> , 126 S.Ct. 1297 (2006).....	12-13
<i>Rust v. Sullivan</i> , 500 U.S. 173 (1991).....	14

Rutan v. Republican Party of Illinois,
497 U.S. 62 (1990).....13

Speiser v. Randall, 357 U.S. 513 (1958).....11

Thomas v. Review Board 450 U.S. 707 (1981).....11

ARTICLES

Bainbridge, Stephen M., *Student Religious Organizations and University Policies Against Discrimination on the Basis of Sexual Orientation: Implications of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act*, 21 J.C. & U.L. 369 (1994).....19

Berg, Thomas C., *Religious Liberty in America at the End of the Century*, 16 J.L. & Religion 187 (2001).....19

Paulsen, Michael S., *A Funny Thing Happened on the Way to the Limited Public Forum: Unconstitutional Conditions on Equal Access for Religious Speakers and Groups*, 29 U.C Davis L. Rev. 653 (1996).....19